

# **MEETING ABSTRACT**

**Open Access** 

# HTLV-1 proviral load of HAM/TSP patients according to new diagnostic criteria of HAM/TSP

Maria F R Grassi<sup>1,2</sup>, Viviana N Olavarria<sup>2</sup>, Ramon A Kruschewsky<sup>2</sup>, Rita Elizabeth Mascarenhas<sup>2</sup>, Inês Dourado<sup>3</sup>, Luis C L Correia<sup>2</sup>, Carlos M de Castro-Costa<sup>4</sup>, Bernardo Galvão-Castro<sup>1,2\*</sup>

From 15th International Conference on Human Retroviruses: HTLV and Related Viruses Leuven and Gembloux, Belgium. 5-8 June 2011

# Introduction

A high HTLV-1 proviral load is described in HTLV-1-associated diseases, especially HAM/TSP. However, the cutoff value to define high levels of HTLV-1-proviral load is not well established.

#### Methods

281 HTLV-1-infected patients from the HTLV reference center in Salvador, Brazil, were followed from 2005 to 2008. Patients were classified as asymptomatic, possible, probable- and definite-HAM/TSP, in accordance with diagnostic criteria proposed by De Castro-Costa et al 2006. HTLV-1- proviral load was determined using real-time PCR. A receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve was constructed using only asymptomatic individuals and definite-HAM/TSP patients. The ROC curve was used to predict the proviral load level that differentiates these two groups.

## Results

Out of 281 patients, 189 were asymptomatic and 92 were diagnosed with HAM/TSP (22 possible, 23 probable, 47 definite). The mean HTLV-1 proviral load was higher in possible-(89,104±93,006 copies/10<sup>6</sup> PBMC), -probable (175,854±128,083 copies/10<sup>6</sup> PBMC) and definite- HAM/TSP patients (150,667±122,320 copies/10<sup>6</sup> PBMC), when compared to asymptomatic individuals (27,178±41,155 copies/10<sup>6</sup> PBMC) (p < 0.0001). A comparison of all HAM/TSP groups showed the highest proviral loads in probable-HAM/TSP patients, yet the differences in mean values were not statistically significant. The ROC curve

suggested a value of 49,865 copies/ 10<sup>6</sup> PBMC, with 87% sensitivity (95% CI= 74 to 95) and 81% specificity (95% CI= 75 to 86), as the HAM/TSP patients (150,667±122,320 copies/106 14 PBMC), when compared to asymptomatic individuals (27,178±41,155 copies/106 15 PBMC) (p < 0.0001). A comparison of all HAM/TSP groups showed the highest proviral loads in probable-HAM/TSP patients, yet the differences in mean values were not statistically significant. The ROC curve suggested a value of 49,865 copies/10<sup>6</sup> PBMC, with 87% sensitivity (95% CI= 74 to 95) and 81% specificity (95% CI= 75 to 86), as the best proviral load cutoff point to differentiate definite HAM/TSP patients from asymptomatic individuals.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Advanced Laboratory of Public Health, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz /Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Escola Bahiana de Medicina e Saúde Pública, Bahia, Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Instituto de Saúde Coletiva, Federal University of Bahia, Bahia, Brazil. <sup>4</sup>In memoriam, Laboratory of Experimental Neurology and Neurophysiology, Federal University of Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil.

Published: 6 June 2011

doi:10.1186/1742-4690-8-S1-A248

Cite this article as: Grassi et al.: HTLV-1 proviral load of HAM/TSP patients according to new diagnostic criteria of HAM/TSP. Retrovirology 2011 8(Suppl 1):A248.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: bgalvao@bahiana.edu.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Advanced Laboratory of Public Health, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz /Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil