

Editorial

Open Access

Please comment, but with civility

Kuan-Teh Jeang

Address: The National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

Email: Kuan-Teh Jeang - kj7e@nih.gov

Published: 24 April 2008

Received: 22 April 2008

Retrovirology 2008, 5:35 doi:10.1186/1742-4690-5-35

Accepted: 24 April 2008

This article is available from: <http://www.retrovirology.com/content/5/1/35>

© 2008 Jeang; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Retrovirology provides the opportunity for readers to post comments online for all published articles. These comments are moderated by the journal editors and are often peer reviewed prior to posting. *Retrovirology* welcomes comments, but asks that they be written civilly.

Retrovirology is an Open Access journal. Every *Retrovirology* article is immediately available upon publication for all to read online, fee-free, in full text [1,2]. An Open Access journal is different from a subscription journal because the latter requires readers to pay a fee in order to access the full text content. On April 7th, 2008, the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH) nudged journal publishing a step closer towards universal Open Access. Beginning on that day, NIH stipulated that all manuscripts accepted for publication from NIH funded research must be deposited in full text into PubMed Central (PMC), an NIH online digital repository. Such articles in PMC will become freely accessible for all to read without charge no later than 12 months after publication.

The NIH move is timely, and it parallels a growing trend that "fee-free" online access has become the public's choice for securing knowledge. For example, the *Wall Street Journal* reported on April 18, 2008, that print/subscription revenue at a major American newspaper, the *New York Times (NYT)*, declined by 12.5% in March 2008 compared to March 2007. Interestingly, in the same report, fee-free access to the *NYT's* online news site was shown to have increased steadily and robustly.

Retrovirology's 5-year experience echoes the above findings. It is not unusual that a published *Retrovirology* article is accessed one thousand or more times within the first

week of its online appearance. In fact, during 2007, the two most highly accessed *Retrovirology* papers were each read more than 5,000 times [3]; [4]. Although we do not have firm data, elsewhere it has been shown that Open Access articles are more widely read and more highly cited than their non-Open Access counterparts [5].

Open Access publishing appears to clearly benefit authors. Interestingly, the current technology of online publishing holds similar promise for interactive and nearly instantaneous participation from readers. Unlike printed journals which cannot allow readers to quickly share their thoughts with others, *Retrovirology's* "comment" tool is a facile digital means for a reader to post his/her immediate impressions of/reactions to a published piece. A feature of Open Access is that the possible range of contributors to the comment forum becomes vastly greater than those who are permitted at a subscription site (e.g. commentators could include journalists, students, retired researchers, or anyone in the interested public).

A posted "comment" at *Retrovirology* is always moderated by an editor and is frequently peer-reviewed. It is a tad disappointing that most readers are reticent about posting "comments" at *Retrovirology*, and a similar reluctance is seen at other journals. Nevertheless, on occasion, lengthy postings appear and are followed quickly by thoughtful rejoinders from authors [6]. These lively but considered

exchanges inform the discussion and enrich the dissemination and interpretation of scientific results.

Some comments proffered by readers are declined by *Retrovirology*. Without going to great lengths into individual reasons for each case, these unposted comments usually suffer from one or more of the following deficiencies: they are belittling of colleagues; they contain personal aspersions; they are oblivious to balanced views on an issue; or they lack civility. Why does civility matter? It matters because civility respects the vulnerabilities of participants, and it elevates the dialogue to focus on the content being communicated rather than the process or the inferred motives of information exchange. At *Retrovirology*, we welcome and encourage your comments, but we urge you to be civil.

Two final thoughts on posting comments – wait 24 hours and allow your emotion to cool before writing your missive; and by all means disagree, but please don't be disagreeable!

Acknowledgements

I thank Matt Cockerill, Andrew Dayton and Patrick Green for readings of this editorial. The opinions expressed here are personal to the author and do not represent the views of BMC or the author's employer.

References

1. Jeang KT: **Retrovirology: 3 at age 2.** *Retrovirology* 2006, **3**:30.
2. Jeang KT: **Impact factor, H index, peer comparisons, and Retrovirology: is it time to individualize citation metrics?** *Retrovirology* 2007, **4**:42.
3. Scaria V, Hariharan M, Maiti S, Pillai B, Brahmachari SK: **Host-virus interaction: a new role for microRNAs.** *Retrovirology* 2006, **3**:68.
4. Savarino A: **In-Silico docking of HIV-1 integrase inhibitors reveals a novel drug type acting on an enzyme/DNA reaction intermediate.** *Retrovirology* 2007, **4**:21.
5. Eysenbach G: **Citation advantage of open access articles.** *PLoS Biol* 2006, **4**:e157.
6. Pillai SK, Wong JK, Barbour JD: **Turning up the volume on mutational pressure: is more of a good thing always better? (A case study of HIV-1 Vif and APOBEC3).** *Retrovirology* 2008, **5**:26.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

