## CORRESPONDENCE



# Falling fowl of the chicken reference genome: pitfalls of studying polymorphic endogenous retroviruses

Andrew S. Mason<sup>\*</sup>

## Abstract

High quality reference genomes have facilitated the study of endogenous retroviruses (ERVs). However, there are an increasing number of published works which assume the ERVs in reference genomes are universal; even those of evolutionarily recent integrations. Consequently, these studies fail to properly characterise polymorphic ERVs, and even propose biological functions for ERVs that may not actually be present in the genomes of interest. Here, I outline the pitfalls of three studies of chicken endogenous Avian Leukosis Viruses (ALVEs or "ev genes": the "original" ERVs), all confounded by the assumption that the reference genome provides a representative ALVE baseline.

Keywords: Endogenous retrovirus, ALVE, Chicken, Avian leukosis virus, Reference genome, Polymorphic ERVs

#### Main text

The recently concluded collection on "Endogenous Retroviruses in Evolution and Disease", shared between this journal and Mobile DNA, has highlighted the impact of high-throughput sequencing for ERV annotation and characterisation. High-quality reference genomes have been a treasure trove for ERV discovery, and this will only continue with the rapidly progressing Vertebrate Genomes Project [1]. However, each reference genome offers only a snapshot of ERV diversity. The interpretation of polymorphic ERVs remains an outstanding challenge, particularly with a reliance on short read sequencing technologies which cannot uniquely distinguish between recently-integrated, intact ERVs with few, likely undescribed, discriminating variants. Furthermore, reference genomes are commonly considered, incorrectly, to be representative of that species' genomic diversity. Consequently, several recent studies of ALVEs in chicken have

\*Correspondence: andrew.mason@york.ac.uk

Jack Birch Unit for Molecular Carcinogenesis, The Department of Biology and York Biomedical Research Institute, The University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK generated highly interesting data, yet present misinterpreted conclusions.

ALVEs were the first ERVs to be described following efforts to control exogenous ALV in commercial flocks [2]. ALVEs exhibit the canonical retroviral structure without accessory genes, and have shorter long terminal repeats (LTRs) than exogenous ALVs, rendering them slow-transformers [3]. ALVEs remain of interest to both industry and academia for their impact on poultry characteristics [4, 5], historical negative associations with productivity traits [6, 7], and the complex interactions with exogenous viruses, including ALV [8, 9] and non-retroviruses, such as Marek's Disease virus (MDV) [10]. The current chicken reference genome (GRCg6a), notably derived from a modern red junglefowl (the pre-domesticated ancestor of chickens), contains two ALVEs [11]. The structurally-intact ALVE-JFevB is, so far, unique to the reference genome individual. Conversely, the highly expressed ALVE6 (indicating its order of discovery in White Leghorn chickens in the 1980s) is truncated to just the envelope and 3'LTR, and is widespread, yet polymorphic, among commercial layers and broilers, but has not been identified in other



© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativeco mmons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0/ apolies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. red junglefowl. Excluding the low numbers observed in highly-selected Leghorns, ALVE abundance is typically in excess of six integrations per genome, and is usually > 10 in non-commercial lines and available red junglefowl datasets [12, 13]. Previous work has shown that despite the morphological and behavioural characteristics of the reference individual [14], this genome is heavily introgressed with the White Leghorn breed and not representative of wild red junglefowl, modern or ancestral [15].

The aim of these points is not to dismiss or diminish the importance of reference genomes, but rather to make clear that the chicken reference genome does not provide a baseline for: (1) specific ALVE integrations in chickens; (2) the typical ALVE abundance of chicken genomes; or (3) the pre-domestication ALVE state in the red junglefowl genome. Intuitively, you need to know what ALVEs your chicken has before suggesting what those ALVEs might be doing.

In their 2017 study, Hu and colleagues [16] studied heterogeneity in ALVE expression across tissues at two ages, suggesting a role in innate immunity based on high and sustained expression in lung and spleen. Using cell lines, they then observed reductions in ALVE expression when cells were infected with the retroviruses ALVJ and reticuloendotheliosis virus, but increased expression when infected with the herpesvirus MDV, particularly of ALVE envelope transcripts. When viruses are so commonly studied in isolation, this work showing modified expression during effective co-infection is of particular interest, especially given recent work on MDV vaccination and elevated incidence of spontaneous lymphoidlike tumours [10]. However, the authors attribute all ALVE expression specifically to ALVE1, without confirming its presence in the genome. In fairness, all birds and cell lines used in this study were derived from White Leghorns, where ALVE1 is highly prevalent yet still polymorphic, even within individual flocks [12]. Furthermore, most White Leghorns contain 3 or more ALVE integrations, and the common ALVE3, ALVE6 and ALVE9 elements all exhibit high envelope expression. In isolation, this ALVE1 assumption could be seen as an oversight based on its prevalence in White Leghorn flocks.

In a 2019 study the same group reported an antisense long non-coding RNA specifically derived from ALVE1 (lnc-ALVE1-AS1), which they showed to induce antiviral innate immunity consistent with a type I interferon response [17]. Again, these data are interesting, particularly as overexpression of lnc-ALVE1-AS1 was shown to significantly reduce ALVJ titre. However, the lnc-ALVE1-AS1 schematic in their Fig. 3B incorrectly identifies the assembled, reference-genome-specific ALVE-JFevB as ALVE1, suggesting that the authors were unaware of ALVE1 polymorphisms, or the presence of other ALVEs, in either study.

In both papers [16, 17], the broad results remain interesting, but the nuance and translation of the work is hindered by not identifying which ALVE, or combination, is responsible. A final, more problematic example is that of Sun and colleagues [18], who presented an otherwise exciting paper about the genesis of PIWIinteracting RNA (piRNA) defence against ALVEs, a novel finding as piRNAs had not previously been shown to suppress any competent infectious virus. Sun and colleagues worked largely with White Leghorn data, but also utilised expression data from red junglefowl, although not the same individual, or population, as the reference. Whilst the authors did identify ALVE6 in their White Leghorns, and check for other known ALVE integrations, they did not do the same in the red junglefowl. Rather, the authors assumed the ALVE complement of the reference was representative of the pre-domesticated state, even after saying they could not discount lineage-specific indels with other transposable elements. Consequently, the authors hypothesised a domestication-associated harnessing of ALVE6 for piRNA, and related this to its modulatory effect on ALV infection, long-recognised as receptor interference [9]. Comparative studies of piRNA between breeds (of known ALVE status), as suggested by the authors, are crucial to truly elucidate the role of ALVE6, or other ALVEs, in piRNA-mediated defence.

In each of these three case studies, comprehensive ALVE identification would have aided interpretation. Fortunately, as high-throughput sequencing approaches have become more accurate and cost-effective, this has become more achievable. ALVE integrations can be detected confidently from whole genome sequencing data [12], utilising enrichment approaches to exclusively assess study population ALVE diversity if budgets require [19]. Furthermore, these approaches are broadly applicable to ERVs across vertebrate genomes. Functional ERV annotation is itself a different and challenging matter, often due to high sequence homology, now being addressed in part by long-read technologies. However, until the utility and scope of pan-genome analysis matures, we still heavily depend on single individual reference genomes to interpret polymorphic ERVs. We just need to ensure that this dependence does not preclude robust conclusions.

#### Abbreviations

ALV: Avian leukosis virus; ALVE: Avian leukosis virus subgroup E; ALVJ: Avian leukosis virus subgroup J; ERV: Endogenous retrovirus; LTR: Long terminal repeat; MDV: Marek's disease virus; piRNA: PIWI-interacting RNA.

#### Acknowledgements

ASM would like to thank Dr Cathy Hawley and Dr Jacqueline Smith for their critical reviews of this manuscript.

#### Authors' contributions

ASM devised the article concept and prepared the manuscript. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

ASM's work on ALVEs has been supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) through a CASE studentship 1361596 (BB/K010964/1) and postdoctoral position funded from an Impact Accelerator Award (BB/GCRF-IAA/25), and is now supported by a York Against Cancer research fellowship in cancer informatics.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The author declares no competing interests.

Received: 6 April 2021 Accepted: 13 April 2021 Published online: 20 April 2021

#### References

- 1. Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, et al. (2020) Towards complete and errorfree genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. Cold Spring Harbor Lab. 2020;05(22):110833.
- Weiss R. Spontaneous virus production from "non-virus producing" Rous sarcoma cells. Virology. 1967;32:719–23.
- 3. Conklin KF. Activation of an endogenous retrovirus enhancer by insertion into a heterologous context. J Virol. 1991;65:2525–32.
- 4. Chang C-M, Coville J-L, Coquerelle G, et al. Complete association between a retroviral insertion in the tyrosinase gene and the recessive white mutation in chickens. BMC Genomics. 2006;7:19.
- Li J, Davis BW, Jern P, et al. Characterization of the endogenous retrovirus insertion in associated with henny feathering in chicken. Mob DNA. 2019;10:38.

- Fox W, Smyth JR Jr. The effects of recessive white and dominant white genotypes on early growth rate. Poult Sci. 1985;64:429–33.
- Gavora JS, Kuhnlein U, Crittenden LB, et al. Endogenous viral genes: association with reduced egg production rate and egg size in White Leghorns. Poult Sci. 1991;70:618–23.
- Crittenden LB, Smith EJ, Fadly AM. Influence of endogenous viral (ev) gene expression and strain of exogenous avian leukosis virus (ALV) on mortality and ALV infection and shedding in chickens. Avian Dis. 1984;28:1037–56.
- Smith EJ, Fadly AM, Crittenden LB. Interactions between endogenous virus loci ev6 and ev21. 1. Immune response to exogenous avian leukosis virus infection. Poult Sci. 1990;69:1244–50.
- Mays JK, Black-Pyrkosz A, Mansour T, et al. Endogenous Avian Leukosis Virus in Combination with Serotype 2 Marek's Disease Virus Significantly Boosted the Incidence of Lymphoid Leukosis-Like Bursal Lymphomas in Susceptible Chickens. J Virol. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00861-19.
- Mason AS, Fulton JE, Smith J. Endogenous avian leukosis virus subgroup E elements of the chicken reference genome. Poult Sci. 2020;99:2911–5.
- 12. Mason AS, Lund AR, Hocking PM, et al. Identification and characterisation of endogenous Avian Leukosis Virus subgroup E (ALVE) insertions in chicken whole genome sequencing data. Mob DNA. 2020;11:22.
- Mason AS, Miedzinska K, Kebede A, et al. Diversity of endogenous avian leukosis virus subgroup E (ALVE) insertions in indigenous chickens. Genet Sel Evol. 2020;52:29.
- 14. International Chicken Genome Sequencing Consortium. Sequence and comparative analysis of the chicken genome provide unique perspectives on vertebrate evolution. Nature. 2004;432:695–716.
- Ulfah M, Kawahara-Miki R, Farajalllah A, et al. Genetic features of red and green junglefowls and relationship with Indonesian native chickens Sumatera and Kedu Hitam. BMC Genomics. 2016;17:320.
- Hu X, Zhu W, Chen S, et al. Expression patterns of endogenous avian retrovirus ALVE1 and its response to infection with exogenous avian tumour viruses. Arch Virol. 2017;162:89–101.
- Chen S, Hu X, Cui IH, et al. An endogenous retroviral element exerts an antiviral innate immune function via the derived IncRNA Inc-ALVE1-AS1. Antiviral Res. 2019;170:104571.
- Sun YH, Xie LH, Zhuo X, et al. Domestic chickens activate a piRNA defense against avian leukosis virus. Elife. 2017. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife. 24695.
- Rutherford K, Meehan CJ, Langille MGI, et al. Discovery of an expanded set of avian leucosis subgroup E proviruses in chickens using Vermillion, a novel sequence capture and analysis pipeline. Poult Sci. 2017;96:1516.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

