

ORAL PRESENTATION

Open Access

Comparison of human T cell leukemia virus-1/2 (HTLV-1/2) screening assays in South America: Implications in the loss of blood units

Gabriela Pataccini¹, Camila Cánepa¹, Jimena Salido², Cecilia M Delfino¹, Jorgelina Blejer³, Roberto Fernández³, Eulalia Rodríguez³, Adriana Alter⁴, Williams Pedrozo⁵, Richard Malan⁵, Elida Iriarte⁶, Gustavo Duarte⁷, Vanessa Schneider⁷, Sergio Bontti⁸, Cristina Salomón⁸, Marcelo Borda⁹, Mirna M Biglione¹, Carolina A Berini^{1*}

From 16th International Conference on Human Retroviruses: HTLV and Related Viruses Montreal, Canada. 26-30 June 2013

Although the detection of HTLV antibodies became mandatory in Argentinean blood banks since 2005, there is no recommendation on how to perform the screening. As a consequence, there is a variable number of HTLV false positives depending on the kit used. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the performance of six commercial screening tests available currently for the initial diagnosis of HTLV-1/2 infection in South America. The positive panel included 14 HTLV-1 and 13 HTLV-2 samples confirmed by WB (HTLV-1/2 MP Diagnostics). The negative panel included 233 samples analysed with Architect (n=47), Diapro (n=46), MP (n=45), Murex (n=47) and Serodia (n=48), collected in 5 different institutions in a period of one up to 10 consecutive days. All of them were confirmed by n-PCR. The sensitivity for all HTLV diagnostic kits was 100.0%. The most specific test was Serodia (PA) (99,1%; 2/233), followed by the ELISAs: Celquest (98.3%, 4/233), Murex (97.8%; 5/233), MP and Architect (97.4%; 6/233), and Diapro (93,1%; 16/233). According to this data, 2 to 16 blood units out of 233 should have been discarded due to false positive results in a period of 10 days. This data must be considered when choosing the assays, not only to obtain an optimal efficiency on HTLV-1/2 diagnosis, to increase the number of potential blood units and to decrease the circulation of anxious individuals without a final result, but also to lower the overall cost-benefits of the diagnosis in the health care system.

¹Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas en Retrovirus y SIDA (INBIRS). Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Authors' details

¹Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas en Retrovirus y SIDA (INBIRS). Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina. ²Cátedra de Inmunología, Facultad de Bioquímica, Química, Farmacia y Biotecnología. Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, San Miguel de Tucumán, Argentina. ³Área Serología, Fundación Hemocentro Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina. ⁴Servicio de Hemoterapia, Sanatorio Municipal "Dr. Julio Méndez", Buenos Aires, Argentina. ⁵Banco de Sangre Central de la Provincia de Misiones, Posadas, Misiones, Argentina. ⁶Sanatorio Adventista del Plata, Libertador San Martín, Entre Ríos, Argentina. ⁷Servicio de Hemoterapia, Hospital Alemán, Buenos Aires, Argentina. ⁸Laboratorio de Referencia de Enfermedades Transmisibles "Centro E. Coni", Mendoza, Argentina. ⁹Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Corrientes, Argentina.

Published: 7 January 2014

doi:10.1186/1742-4690-11-S1-O16

Cite this article as: Pataccini et al.: Comparison of human T cell leukemia virus-1/2 (HTLV-1/2) screening assays in South America: Implications in the loss of blood units. *Retrovirology* 2014 11(Suppl 1): O16.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit





^{*} Correspondence: cberini@fmed.uba.ar